

## Deposit Structure and Returns on Banque Bemo Saudi Fransi's Loan Portfolio

Reza Ganjali,

*Scientific Research Institute Nigeria*

**ABSTRACT:** The issue of reform and renewal thus remains a reality that companies are forced to face, even in a situation marked by constraints such as competition, concern for profitability and the budget. In such a context, it is obviously difficult to establish precisely when it will be wiser to replace the equipment rather than continue to repair it. Moreover, a bad decision can have serious economic consequences for the company. This is the whole point of this article, the content of which is to propose a policy and a strategy that allows construction companies to minimize costs..

**KEYWORDS:** apparatus, reform, and optimization.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A construction equipment undergoes in its life a depreciation linked on the one hand to wear and on the other hand to time; which systematically implies that its lifespan is not infinite. It is also useful to remember that the acquisition of any equipment is an investment that the company must make profitable in the face of the various costs linked to its existence. Whatever the nature of the equipment, the equipment manager adopts a maintenance policy aimed at extending its useful life as much as possible by reducing its operating cost. However, at some point, this material must go out of the park instead of continuing to be maintained, and this one way or another. We have seen in practice that most construction companies very often do not have reliable tools for determining when to decommission this equipment; and that in most cases they make use of their personal experiences.

Our model will aim to fill these gaps by presenting an effective decision-making tool for the reform and/or renewal of equipment. To do this, we are going to propose a policy of reform and renewal that responds to existing realities, after having presented a review of work relating to this problem. A replacement model is a method used to establish the sequence of equipment to be replaced (renewed/downgraded) according to a well-defined criterion.

In the literature review, we find several methods; the type of model to be used depends on criteria established in advance, the best known of which are based on the lifespan of the equipment and the repair costs [1]. Most of these models consider economic or financial criteria [2]. They are effective for any business that is aiming for a particular criterion. They are very precise and give equipment managers specific leads on specific problems related to renewal. Although they lead to very relevant results in terms of contributions to lowering costs or increasing profits, they do not take into account other variables involved, such as the environment and technology. The models of [3] had better take into account the realities of the company..

### 2. RESEARCH METHODS

Research methods of analysis, verification, control of all factors in corruption and the mafia in private enforcement, repression schemes, mafia and harassment, repression of honest private bailiffs, mafia schemes in the Chamber of Private Bailiffs 2006 Private Bailiff GEORGI SYKOV DICHEV and his assistants and participants in the council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents. Analysis of the law on private bailiffs, mafia practices in this law, mafia practices of the Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents, repression, proven lawlessness and umbrellas over crimes and violations of private bailiffs close to the mafia.

The inaction of the Ministry of Justice, ministers, members of the National Assembly, the European Commission, the European Union, the European Parliament, the Venice Commission, the Assembly of Europe is also inactive.

### **3. THE NEOLIBARAL NEO-FASCIST MAFIA**

The obsolescence of equipment is the fact that it is outdated and therefore loses some of its use value due to technical (or technological) development alone, even if it is in perfect working order.

Several definitions have been found in the literature but in our research project, it is rather a question of obsolescence of use. It refers to the problem of deciding whether to keep equipment or replace it with more advanced technology. Indeed, in recent years this problem has been aggravated by the meteoric advance of technology faster than ever before. Thus, the driving force behind replacement decisions is likely to be obsolescence, rather than the physical deterioration of existing equipment [9]. A new design or improvement of existing equipment can make obsolete equipment economical [4].

The fact that the Prime Minister has appointed the Chief Prosecutor has become the NORM. It is clear why! Even if there are crimes by the executive branch, THE MAN OF THE MINISTER - THE PRESIDENT BOYKO BORISOV should not bring charges against his ministers and against him.

It is no coincidence that Prosecutor KOKINOV stated to the Prime Minister BOYKO BORISOV as Chief Prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV - "YOU PUT IT FOR YOURSELF !!"

The successor of SOTIR TSATSAROV is his favorite IVAN GESHEV who has investigated ministers and Prime Minister BOYKO BORISOV for records and others!

The fact that the prosecutor's office has not brought charges against ministers and prime ministers and statesmen has become a NORM-LAW.

It has become the norm for the prosecutor's office to raise fabricated accusations against dissidents and anti-mafia and corruption fighters, honest private bailiffs, and the government of Prime Minister BOYKO BORISOV, and even to try to KILL those dissidents in ARREST.

#### **WHAT IS THE NORM IN BULGARIA?!?!?!?**

The availability of improved equipment performing the same service with more economical means will justify the replacement of old equipment with new ones or even keeping them for other uses less than the current one. This translates into a better economic benefit. Hardware that is no longer in production can be considered obsolete. In the same way, equipment whose spare parts are becoming increasingly scarce can also become obsolete.

#### **3.1. WHAT IS THE NORM IN BULGARIA in the private court proceedings and the judicial system?!?!?!?**

THE NEOLIBARAL NEO-FASCISTS MAFIA in PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE in support of Private Mafia Bailiffs THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE of the Chief Procurator SOTIR TSATSAROV and the Chief Procurator IVAN GESHEV as a tool for covering up, stretching a flurry over the PEAs from the mafia who have committed crimes NORMA is prosecutors to stretch an umbrella over proven crimes by private bailiffs of the mafia embezzlement of hundreds of thousands of euros, fraud and theft of hundreds of thousands of euros.

NORMA is for prosecutors to tighten their grip on crimes committed by the PEAs by the mafia,

It is NORM for prosecutors to pass a tsar over PEA-PEA DICHEV from the mafia, who for more than a year does not provide a regime of contacts of a father with his son, because the mother is a judge and sent 280 km from Sofia their common son

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch an umbrella over the PEA - PEA CHOLAKOV from the mafia, which for ten years does not provide a regime of contacts of a father with his son.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch the umbrella of PEA Kovacheva from the mafia, who transfers BGN 712,000 from the specialist to another PEA Cholakov, without having the rights and powers of another PEA - real theft and misappropriation of money from 2010.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch the umbrella of the PEA, which is from the mafia, which returns BGN 700,000 to a buyer who bought a property at a fake public sale, and who receives the property without money.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch a tsar over PEAs, who have unjustly enriched themselves and misappropriated money from a debtor with hundreds of thousands of euros.

NORM is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over a state bailiff who has misappropriated money from a debtor twice the debtor's obligation.

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over the PEA who is from the mafia.

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over the PEA, a former state bailiff, who was an agent of the State Security, who constantly commits fraud.

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over PEAs from the mafia for more than 15 years for embezzling hundreds of thousands of euros.

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over the PEA from the mafia, which confiscates the property of non-debtors from a debtor and causes losses of over 3 million euros.

It is NORMA for prosecutors to stretch over the PEA from the mafia, which stole over BGN 700,000 from a creditor.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch an umbrella over PEA Cholakov and Cholakova from the mafia who, with death threats and threats from bandits, makes a creditor sign documents with which the creditor could be robbed of hundreds of thousands of euros with his money.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch an umbrella over PEAs from the mafia, which threatens creditors and steals their money - hundreds of thousands of euros.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch the umbrella of the PEA from the mafia who has filed an enforcement case on the basis of fraud and illegally issued a writ of execution for millions of euros.

NORMA is a prosecutor and close to the chief prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV and IVAN GESHEV to delay the initiation of pre-trial proceedings against PEAs from the mafia, which has misappropriated hundreds of thousands of euros for more than 13 years.

NORMA is a prosecutor to refuse to initiate pre-trial proceedings against the Private Enforcement Agents and a lawyer and money thief as an organized criminal group for stealing hundreds of thousands of euros from a creditor.

NORMA is prosecutors and the entire prosecutor's office of the Chief Prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV and the Chief Prosecutor IVAN GESHEV to file charges for things that are not a violation against PEA - who does not belong to the mafia, with the ultimate goal to deprive him forever of rights as PEA - Prosecutor Mariana Stankova Nina Yaneva, Daniela Dimitrova. .

NORMA is prosecutors of the entire Prosecutor's Office of the Chief Prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV and the Chief Prosecutor IVAN GESHEV to file charges on signals of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Dichev - signals with false data and fraud in order to ruin human lives and deprivation of rights. prosecutors BAYAN BALEV, Mariana Stankova, Kostadinova, Nina Yaneva, Daniela Dimitrova.

NORMA is prosecutors whole prosecutor's office of the Chief Prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV and the Chief Prosecutor IVAN GESHEV to file charges on signals of the President of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Dichev - signals with false data and fraud in order to ruin human lives AND years later the victim FOR THIS RACKET.

It is NORM for prosecutors BAYAN BALEV to initiate pre-trial proceedings only on a signal without evidence AND AFTER PEOPLE AND THE MAFIA ENTERED HIS OFFICE.

NORMA is for prosecutor Mariana Stankovo to bring an accusation against the PEA that she has entered a decree for assignment, provided that such an act is entered by the announced buyer and not by the PEA.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch an umbrella over PEAs who have unjustly enriched themselves and misappropriated money from a debtor.

NORM is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over a state bailiff who has misappropriated money from a debtor twice the debtor's obligation.

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over the PEA who is from the mafia.

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over the PEA, a high-ranking state bailiff who was an agent of the State Security.

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over PEAs from the mafia for more than 15 years for embezzling hundreds of thousands of euros.

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES RESEARCH

(IJMSSR)

ISSN 2455-1422 (Online)

[www.aarmssjournals.com](http://www.aarmssjournals.com)

Volume: 10, Issue: 01 | 2024

---

NORMA is a prosecutor to stretch an umbrella over the PEA from the mafia, which confiscates the property of non-debtors from a debtor and causes losses of over 3 million euros.  
It is NORMA for prosecutors to stretch over the PEA from the mafia, which stole over BGN 700,000 from a creditor.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch an umbrella over the PEA from the mafia, which with death threats and threats from bandits makes a creditor sign documents with which the creditor could be robbed of hundreds of thousands of euros with his money.

NORMA is for prosecutors to stretch an umbrella over PEAs from the mafia, which threatens creditors and steals their money - hundreds of thousands of euros.

NORMA is for a prosecutor to bring charges for a crime committed by another person by imputing crimes, which is contrary to the law and practice when the prosecutor does not respect international law.

NORM It is when the prosecutor deliberately misapplies the law, for example the prosecutors Mariana Stankova, Yaneva, Dimitrova, Balev who accuse the PEA of selling properties, which she seized on 30.08.2007. of public sales in early 2008, provided that after the foreclosure on 30.08.2007. Kremikovtzi sold them to third parties on 02.11.2007. and 09.09.2007 despite the ban on property - ie. there is a proven crime by Kremikovtzi employees, but for these prosecutors this is not a crime - that of Kremikovtzi.

NORM IS when the prosecutor deliberately does not quote the law, when the prosecutor deliberately mistreats the law deliberately wrongly replaces and adds laws.

NORM is when the prosecutor deliberately mistakenly treats indisputable evidence, which is not in his favor

NORM is when the prosecutor deliberately does not even comment on indisputable written evidence - crimes committed by other persons - prosecutor Stankova, Dimitrova, Yaneva,

NORM IS when the prosecutor deliberately fails to enforce an effective court decision.

NORM Is when the prosecutor deliberately does not apply the law because the defendant is his fellow judge

NORM Is when the prosecutor deliberately does not respect the decrees of other institutions NRA, prosecutor's office for proven facts and circumstances.

NORM It is when the prosecutor does not even comment on indisputable collected written evidence prosecutor Yaneva, Dimitrova Stankova - payments by bank transfer.

NORM IS when the prosecutor orders the expert not to respect the law and not to comply with an effective decision

NORM It is when a prosecutor appoints experts and orders them to prepare accounting expertise and instead of complying with the law and setting prices for uncategorized land - soles - uncategorized land assessed by law and regulation at a price of BGN 40 per decare, sets prices from 18,000 to 28,000 BGN per decare - which is 450 TIMES OVER THE LEGAL and determination of non-categorized lands AS LPR for construction of 10-storey buildings. Prosecutors Mariana Stankova, Dimitrova, Yaneva.

**THE NORM IS THE EXECUTION OF REPRESSION, COORDINATION, EXTORTION** by prosecutors against citizens and companies that do not obey the executive branch - the Prime Minister and his racketeering and extortion to transfer property to companies.

NORM IS when prosecutors fabricate indictments with fabricated charges, or charges based on fraud and fake expert reports in violation of the laws of that country.

NORM IS when prosecutors cover up crimes committed by the Prime Minister, by ministers, by officials of the executive branch,

NORMA is when prosecutors cover up inaction and non-compliance with laws, non-compliance with court decisions,

NORM is when prosecutors cover up crimes - theft of state property, theft of private land, theft of land in favor of construction, theft of companies, factories.

NORM IS when prosecutors cover up crimes of the executive branch - theft of EU funds,

NORM IS when prosecutors cover up schemes for theft and extortion of citizens by state companies - offering supply of electricity, gas, heat, water.

NORM is when prosecutors HAVE STRENGTHENED AN UMBRELLA, DOUBLE STANDARD IN THE THEFT OF LAND FROM PRIVATE LAND 2015-MARCH 2016 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE "NORTH TANGENT" OF SOFIA, TWO-TWO.

THE NORM IS WHEN PROSECUTORS OF THE CHIEF PROSECUTORS Assoc. Prof. BORIS VELCHEV, SOTIR TSATSAROV, IVAN GESHEV STRETCH AN UMBRELLA FOR COMMITTED CRIMES BY PRIME MINISTER SERGEY STANESYOV Z.

## **NORM IS THE ORDERS CARRIED OUT BY THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE OF SOTIR TSATSAROV AND IVAN GESHEV FOR DESTRUCTION OF CITIZENS WHOLE FAMILIES, COMPANIES**

NORMA is an umbrella over judges, ministers, prime ministers.

NORMA is an umbrella stretched, double standard by prosecutors Mariana Stankova, Ina Yaneva, Boyan Balev, Tsankov, Dimitrova from the Sofia City Prosecutor's Office over proven crimes of private bailiffs such as Georgi Dichev, Ivan Cholakov, Nedyalka Kovacheva, Stoyan Yakimov, Bazinski and Bazinski and Bazinski.

Norma is to raise charges against innocent citizens for the purpose of harassment and destruction - mainly means of mafia in the prosecutor's office, criminal lawlessness, order, racket, coercion, theft of real estate, **factories - prosecutor Kostadinova and prosecutor Silyanova from the Sofia District Prosecutor's Office, Prosecutors Mariana Stankova, Prosecutors Nina Yaneva, Daniela Dimitrova, Boyan Balev, Tsankov, Popkolev from the Sofia City Prosecutor's Office.**

### **3.3. THE MINISTRY OF JUSTICE under Ministers HRISTO IVANOVY PETKOVAY ZLATANOVA, TSETSKA TSACHEVA, DANAIL KIRILOV, AKHLADOVA, PROF. YANAKI STOILOV, as an instrument for CONCEALING CRIMES committed by PEAs of the Mafia, stretching an umbrella over PEAs of the MAFIA**

MINISTRY OF JUSTICE AS AN INSTRUMENT FOR STRETCHING BETWEEN CRIMES COMMITTED BY PEOPLE'S PEOPLE OF THE MAFIA

NORM is the ministers like TSETSKA TSACHEVA, DANAIL KIRILOV, AKHLADOVA, Prof. YANAKI STOILOV, NADEZHDA YORDANOVA, not to delete the private bailiffs Stoyan Yakimov, Tsacheva, and ACHEV, because they carry out trade.

NORMA is inspectors and ministers of justice, as mentioned above, not to take any actions, inspections against private individuals from the Ministry of Finance and to cover up their crimes.

NORMA is that inspectors and ministers of justice should not take measures against the PEAs from the mafia who have misappropriated hundreds of thousands of euros, who have committed frauds on a particularly large scale, who have stolen creditors with hundreds of thousands of euros.

NORMA is the inspector and ministers of justice not to take measures against PEAs - who has made a foreclosure of real estate of companies as the debtor is only a natural person

THE NORM is for inspectors and ministers of justice not to take measures against STATE BUDGET ENFORCEMENTERS who have forced a debtor to PAY TWICE THE SAME OBLIGATION and TO KEEP HIM FOR TENS.

It is NORM that inspectors and ministers of justice should NOT FORM ANY DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST PEOPLE from the mafia PEA DICHEV, PEA Yakimov, PEA Bazinski, PEA ChasaI and Petseva Tsacheva, Petri Tsacheva and PEA

#### **3.3.1. MINISTRY OF JUSTICE as a body of repression against honest PEAs based on complaints and signals from people close to the mafia**

Ministry of Justice as a repressive neoliberal neo-fascist body for instituting DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS against PEAs without any violations in order to punish and disqualify them. The Ministry, which prepares false reports and initiates disciplinary proceedings against PEAs, provided that the complaints of the mafia persons DO NOT EVEN CIT ANY ENFORCEMENT CASE, in which there are violations -

### **3.4. COURTS**

The court system of the Sofia City Court, the Sofia Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court of Cassation-as an instrument for legalizing crimes committed by the PEA by the Mafia and the stretch of an umbrella over their transference and back deprivation of the rights of the "PEA" they have not actually committed any violations and disqualifications.

**JUDICIAL SYSTEM THE COURTS OF THE SOFIA CITY COURT, THE SOFIA COURT OF APPEALS AND THE SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION - AS AN INSTRUMENT FOR REPRESSION DESTRUCTION OF LIFE**

Judges from the Supreme Court of Casa in Dogoovka with the PEA-Mafia PEA Dichev and others, to fail the designated penalties from the disciplinary compliance and the Council of the Chamber of PEA, the Mafia Mafia Mafia with JS JS JUDS in the Supreme Xat Court

**AGREEMENTS of PEA DICHEV with judges from the Supreme Court of Cassation, who serve the MAFIA, to deprive the PEA of PEA - who does not even have any violations.**

**AGREEMENT OF PEA DICHEV with JUDGES of the Supreme Court of Cassation to deprive the PEA who has given a notarized power of attorney to a person to come and receive documents from Banks, National Revenue Agency and other state institutions - WHICH IS NOT A VIOLATION OF THE LAW - and to deprive him from rights for a YEAR AND TO RUIN HIS BUSINESS.**

**THE AGREEMENT of PEA DICHEV and the mafia of PEAs with the Deputy Chairman of the Supreme Court of Cassation, Judge KRASIMIR VLAHOV**

**AGREEMENT OF PEA DICHEV with judges from the Supreme Court of Cassation to make a case against him for deprivation of rights to the Ministry of Justice with Minister Hristo Ivanov who forgets to confirm the interest of the Ministry of Justice in this case and this punishment against PEA DICHEV.**

### **3.5. NATIONAL REVENUE AGENCY**

**NATIONAL REVENUE AGENCY in alliance with PEA-DICHEV PEA from the mafia, as an instrument of the MAFIA FOR REPRESSION AGAINST PEA**

Initiation of audits against PEAs and determination of the amounts that are in the special account that belong to CLAIMERS AND DEBTORS AND DETERMINE THEM FOR INCOME of a private bailiff in order to be sentenced to DUZHA man.

Inventing gtlbi and the penalty - such as INTEREST of BGN 12,869 on ZERO PRINCIPAL and forcing the PEA to pay HTAZI INNOCENT INTEREST, formed on the zero ZERO PRINCIPAL

**EXECUTION of the entire REPRESSIVE APPARATUS of the National Revenue Agency, for foreclosures on the accounts of the Frequency Private Enforcement Agents, in order to ruin his business, depriving him of the opportunity to even receive and take a loan from a bank in the amount of 1000 euros, ie. PHYSICAL DESTRUCTION OF MAN AND ITS CAUSATION OF DISEASES AND INADMISSIBLE DISEASES.**

**ACTUALLY, the refusal and stretching of an umbrella over the private individuals from the mafia WHO HAVE APPROPRIATED HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF EUROS**

## **4. SCHEMES OF REPRESSION OF NEOLIBERAL NEOFASCIST MAFIOTISM OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT AGENTS DICHEV OF THE CHAMBER OF PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT AGENTS AND HIS FRIENDS IN THE COUNCIL OF THE CHAMBER OF PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT AGENTS - THE PURPOSE IS TO RUIN**

**FIRST OPTION - SCHEME OF THE ORDER OF THE MAFIA PEOPLE DICHEV and the council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents:**

1. SIGNAL from Private Enforcement Agents Dichev Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents to the Prosecutor's Office - to the Chief Prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV, to the Chief Prosecutor Assoc. Prof. BORIS VELCHEV and to the prosecutors dozens of other prosecutors, in whose offices SI DICHEV enters

unhindered whenever he wishes and requests.

2. PROSECUTOR SERVING THE MAFIA FORMES CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE INNOCENT immediately, even on SIGNAL WITHOUT ANY WRITTEN EVIDENCE, WITHOUT ANY NAME. THE PURPOSE is to bring a criminal case to court, and then a DETERMINED JUDGE serving the mafia to convict the JUDGE and to deprive him of his rights in general as a private bailiff.
3. JOURNALIST VALYA AHCHIEVA MAKES A TELEVISION BROADCAST ON BULGARIAN NATIONAL TELEVISION AND BROADCASTS HIM ON TELEVISION, USING LIES AND DEFAMATIONS DISTRIBUTED BY POLITICIANS SUCH AS IVO SAVOV, VANDOVA POLITIA
4. A MAFIA JOURNALIST PUBLISHES IN A WEEKLY OR DAILY NEWSPAPER AGAIN THESE LIES, FOR example, IN BANKER AND OTHER NEWSPAPERS  
THE PURPOSE OF DESTRUCTION OF HUMAN LIVES.

**SECOND OPTION** - THROUGH COMPLAINTS OF SUBJECT PERSONS against a certain PEA to initiate a disciplinary case WITH WHICH TO BE DEPRIVED OF RIGHTS

1. PEA DICHEV drives people - creditors or debtors in enforcement cases in ORDERS TO REMOVE Private Enforcement Agent TO WRITE COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE ORDERED PEOPLE. Loyal friends of PEA DICHEV, such as Krassimir Mollov and others, are used as complainants.
2. Then a REPORT IS PREPARED - FRAUD, DOCUMENT WITH FALSE CONTENT and lies and false data and on the basis of this report, which is not submitted to the sanctioned MSI, the Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents chaired by of a disciplinary case punishable by DEPRIVATION as a Private Enforcement Agent
3. Then the disciplinary panel confirms the CRAZY DECISION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CHAMBER - THE MAFIA.
4. AFTER THAT, THE MAFIA in the CHAMBER OF PEA, chaired by PEA DICHEV and PEA Ivan Hadjiivanov, in agreement with the judges of the Supreme Court of Cassation, appointed judges close to them to hear the case in the Supreme Court of Cassation. . LEGALIZE REPRESSION, HARASSMENT - NEOFASCISM and the mafia in the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents.

**THIRD OPTION** - through a complaint to the MINISTRY of Justice and the use of inspectors who serve the MAFIA

1. File a complaint to the Ministry of Justice - to the inspectors WHO SERVE THE MAFIA AND HAVE PERSONAL CONTACT AND RELATIONSHIP WITH CHI DICHEV
2. From there, on the basis of lies and slander, a disciplinary case is requested against the appointed PEA in the Chamber of PEA with the appropriate punishment.
3. Then, through the mafia connections in the Supreme Court of Cassation, the judges of the Supreme Court of Cassation confirmed the sentence

**FOURTH OPTION** - REPRESSED through the National Revenue Agency for the formation of audits

1. The Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents DICHEV refers to false documents and real lies and slanders The National Revenue Agency refers them to unrealistic things on the basis of which the MAFIA and all relatives.

The ultimate goal - PREPARATION OF AN AUDIT REPORT BY THE NRA, which obliges the targeted PEA to pay REALLY "UNDUE TAXES" in the HUNDREDS OF HILDI BGN.

**FIFTH OPTION** - many UNREAL appeals to SUBJECT PERSONS in order to PUNISH the ACCUSED PEOPLE of many punishments, in order to finally demand the DEPRIVATION of the alleged PEA FOREVER as a private bailiff. In this way, he cannot be a lawyer and cannot act even as a lawyer. THIS IS A SYSTEM OF COMPLETE DESTRUCTION OF THE LIFE OF THE ACCUSED PEA.

Very often such PEAs die after THIS HARASSMENT - PEA Apostolov from PLOVDIV, PEA Georgiev from Pazardzhik

## THE CHERRY OF THE CAKE

The judges of the Supreme Court of Cassation are fulfilling the order of the mafia against the appointed PEA for removal, for deprivation of rights.

**5. THE REPRESSION** and the lack of morale of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents and the Private Enforcement Agents Georgi Dichev, Chairman since 2006. with small breaks and the members of the Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Elitsa Hristova, Private Enforcement Agents Tanya Madjarova, Private Enforcement Agents Ivan Hadjiivanov, Private Enforcement Agents Tsebova, Private Enforcement Agents T. Kolev, Private Enforcement Agents Cholakov, Private Enforcement Agents Alexander Dachev, Private Enforcement Agents Vasil Nedyalkov, Private Enforcement Agents STOYAN , PEA Georgi Georgiev, PEA Natalia Dangova, PEA Georgi Tsekleov, PEA Irina Hristova, PEA Krastyo Angelov, PEA Stefan Gorchev, PEA Ivaylo Iliev Iliev, PEA Totko Kolev, PEA Elitsa Hristova Tsebova, PEA Elitsa Hristova Tsebova, PEA Ivan Hadjiivanov, PEA Sirakov, Private Enforcement Agents Marian PETKOV, Private Enforcement Agents Delyan Nikolov, Private Enforcement Agents VICTOR GEORGIEV, Private Enforcement Agents Tsacheva, Private Enforcement Agents Anadolieva, Private Enforcement Agents Haladzhova.

1. By order of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents PEA Georgi Dichev, he received information about creditors and debtors from the register of enforcement cases filed with PEA MG and makes these creditors and debtors write complaints - unfounded, full of slander and lies against PEA M. Garibova with the ultimate goal of the Chamber Council to initiate disciplinary proceedings for repression, extortion, coercion, with the ultimate goal to deprive PEA M.G. of rights as a private bailiff - despite the fact that PEA M.G. there are no violations.

This is harassment that has lasted for more than 13 years

2. By order of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents PEA Georgi Dichev, he received information about creditors and debtors from the register of enforcement cases filed with PEA MG and makes a creditor who has filed an enforcement case for 100,000 euros against an insurer to take his writ of execution and despite all the actions taken by the PEA M.G. to cause her losses from fees - tens of thousands of euros.

3. By order of the chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Georgi Dichev, he commissioned businessman Krassimir Mollov, manager of several companies that have acquired small amounts of property of the state company "General Directorate Construction and Reconstruction" buildings and resorts and properties thousands of euros, to write a complaint with DEFAMATION AND LIES This is harassment that has lasted for more than 13 years

4. By order of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Georgi Dichev Krassimir MOLLOV to write a complaint to the Prosecutor's Office

5. By order of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents PEA Georgi Dichev to refer to the National Revenue Agency and the Prosecutor's Office with fabricated lies and slander against PEA MG to violations that do not correspond to reality and WITH AN AGREEMENT WITH THE PROSECUTORS OF THE Sofia City Prosecutor's Office and the Chief Prosecutor SOTIR TSATSAROV and IVAN ESHEV to be charged against PEA M.G. because she has registered a decree for assignment in the Registry Agency, which is not true, as the buyer of the property he legally registers the decree for assignment after public sale in the Registry Agency.

## 5. THE BEGINNING

On 04.04.2008 21.05.2008 by virtue of decrees for assignment D. acquire two properties located in the northern tangent of the city of Sofia - the capital of Bulgaria, respectively, with areas of 2050 acres and 2170 acres.

As early as September 2008, pressure began on the father by phone from 11 pm to 3 pm, when DD was invited to donate the property of the then Prime Minister BB and threats. After these threats, DD suffered a stroke, heart attack and broke his leg in the hospital in Burgas. Since September 2008, after an operation in a hospital in Sofia,

DD has been in bed in Sofia.

After threats and racketeering against the D. family failed, former State Security colonels posing as representatives of Prime Minister Boyko Borissov began to want to buy the property at a price 9,000 (nine thousand) times below the market price.

After the rejection of this proposal, actions in various institutions follow.

Following letters from the President of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Private Enforcement Agent Georgi Saykov Dichev, to the National Revenue Agency, to the Prosecutor's Office, to prosecutors Nikolay Kokinov, to Prosecutor Balev, to Prosecutor Mariana Stankova, to the Sofia City Prosecutor's Office Mariana Stankova from the Sofia City Prosecutor's Office for appointing tax audits against the whole family and its companies.

After colonels from the State Security 2010 and 2011 with an office on Vitosha Blvd. - in Sofia, they offered the former Yavochna quarters to the D. family and introduced themselves on behalf of BOYKO BORISOV and offered to buy these lands of the D family at a price of 9000 / nine thousands / times below market value?!?!,

And after the members of the D. family refused to sell their lands to the National Revenue Agency and the prosecutor's office was activated, tax audits began, prosecutor Mariana Stankova's decrees for tax audits followed, foreclosures on all properties of the D. family and 2050 decares and 2170 decares.

The National Revenue Agency is asking the son of the MD family for unpaid taxes for BGN 2,000,000 / two million / BGN 6,000,000 / six million / BGN 8,000,000 / BGN 8 million,

Taxes in the amount of BGN 2,000,000 are demanded from father D, and BGN 2,000,000 (two million) from the mother.

## **6. THE AUDITS OF THE NATIONAL REVENUE AGENCY IN BULGARIA ENDED WITH AUDIT ACTS FROM WHICH IT IS SHOWN THAT THE PERSONS OF THE FAMILY D. DO NOT OWE ANY CENT OF THE STATE.**

Following a complaint from a person claiming to have the support of the GERB party and the MRF party Krassimir Mollov, a prosecutor's file was opened. There are also letters from the chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Georgi Saykov Dichev to the Prosecutor's Office, the National Revenue Agency, and the Sofia City Prosecutor's Office.

In 2011 the apartment of the D family was set on fire, in 2012 at the beginning of the year a bus was waiting for MD next to his office to hit and smash him, but he only passed through his leg. After seven more assassination attempts on MD.

Following is an attempt to steal private property through a Concession by the Council of Ministers with Prime Ministers SERGEY STANISHEV 2009 and Prime Minister BOYKO BORISOV 2010. By Decision of the COUNCIL OF MINISTERS № 43 of 23.01.2009. with Prime Minister Sergey Stanishev and subsequently with Decision № 69 of 15.02.2010 with Prime Minister Boyko Borisov decides to conclude an ILLEGAL CONCESSION with the company "CELSIAN" on privately owned properties for 35 years, as the Council of Ministers has determined and given LAND - additional to the concession area of 2,928.5 in the amount of additional area of 9,084 decares PRIVATE PROPERTY.

THE PURPOSE IS THE THEFT OF PRIVATE LAND, which in 2008 the then mayor of Sofia Boyko Borisov announced as his own lands on which he will build a super village. In 2010 and 2011 the requests for a Detailed Development Plan in the UAGC of Sofia Municipality for the preparation of a detailed development plan DO NOT ACCIDENTALLY DISAPPEAR and so far they do not exist. There are projects to build a power plant for 375 megawatts based on the technologies created in 1991 by MD, stadiums, complexes and others for \$ 5 billion.

7. By order of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Private Enforcement Agents Georgi Dichev

With the agreement with the prosecutor MARIYANA STANKOVA from the Sofia City Prosecutor's Office to initiate criminal proceedings against the ACCUSED PERSONAL HONEST, who does not belong to the MAFIA, and WHO WAS TO BE DETAINED IN THE ARREST ON FICTIONAL ACCUSATION.

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES RESEARCH

(IJMSSR)

ISSN 2455-1422 (Online)

[www.aarmssjournals.com](http://www.aarmssjournals.com)

Volume: 10, Issue: 01 | 2024

8. By order of the President of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Georgi Dichev, the same with an agreement with prosecutor BOYAN BALEV owning houses and apartments for millions of leva, which he can not prove with his actual salary as a prosecutor to prosecute only on appeal WITHOUT ANY EVIDENCE NO DOCUMENTS against PEA M.G. on the same day when a complaint was received by the prosecutor's office WITHOUT ANY EVIDENCE.
9. By order of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents Private Enforcement Agents Georgi Dichev,; In the appointment of the Prosecutor General Sotir Tsatsarov and Ivan Goveshv and the State Prime Minister DZA, the fictional accusation of the ultimate goal of being stolen and paid by bank transfer and became the property of the state "Dobrev Halachev Dynasty Dynasty - Constitutional Monarchy.
10. By order of the Chairman of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents PEA Georgi Dichev, he persuaded the person NIKOLAI NEYKOV to file a complaint against PEA MG that he and his company were robbed. On the signal of CDI Dichev and the Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents - Private Enforcement Agents Krastyo Angelov, Private Enforcement Agents Stefan Gorchev, Private Enforcement Agents Ivaylo Iliev Iliev, Private Enforcement Agents Totko Kolev, Private Enforcement Agents Elitsa Hristova Tsebova, Private Enforcement Agents Ivan Hadjiivanov, Private Enforcement Agents Nikola Popov, Private Enforcement Agents Rosen Sirakov PETKOV, Private Enforcement Agents Delyan Nikolov, Private Enforcement Agents VICTOR GEORGIEV All submit SIGNALS WITH FICTITIOUS VIOLATIONS to the Prosecutor's Office in order to form a criminal case. Case against PEA.M.G. Once again, everything turns out to be a lie.

If there was a public sale and an award decree was issued, PEA Dichev had to prepare a distribution under Article 495 of the Civil Procedure Code, deducting the receivable that Megaenterprise has as a creditor and after including all costs of the enforcement case, as well as after research of the possible obligations of the debtor to the NRA, a distribution is prepared, which determines the amount to be paid to the buyer, who is also a creditor and this is by no means the entire amount of the public sale 708 373 but much smaller and after the entry into force of this distribution, which is communicated to the parties-buyer-creditor, is the difference after deducting his receivable and other expenses and this is not the entire amount of the public sale of BGN 708,373. After the amount is paid and entered into force to the parties for appeal within seven days from the service and payment of the local tax for the acquisition of the property a decree for registration is issued. It is not possible within moreover, the amount that remains is NOT RETURNED TO THE BUYER, IN THIS CASE "MEGAOENTERPRISE", AND IS PAID TO THE DEBTOR IN THE CASE

The decrees of Prosecutor DOCHEV, Prosecutor BAYAN BALEV, Prosecutor NINA YANEVA and other prosecutors from the SOFIA CITY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE ARE EVIDENCE OF A STRENGTHENED UMBRELLAS FROM THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE OF OTHERS AND THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE. With this indisputable evidence, prosecutors DOCHEV, BALEV, YANEVA REALLY REFUSED TO IMPLEMENT THE LAW, the obvious goal is to LEGALLY LEGALLY and proven many crimes committed by PEA Dichev FOR MORE THAN 15 / FIVE YEARS.

None of what was written by the prosecutors DOCHEV, BALEV and co. DOES NOT ANSWER THE TRUTH. Back in 2008 Private Enforcement Agent Dichev was notified of the assignment between AUDIO VEGA and Goldman Management.

Dichev was sent to the PEA by mail and received a copy of the assignment agreement. An original of the ASSIGNMENT AGREEMENT has even been sent for verification, if he wishes to do so.

Many times since 2008. so far 2016 2017, 2018 PEA Dichev IS INVITED TO RETURN THE MONEY, BUT HE HAS NOT DONE IT FOR 15 / FIFTEEN / YEARS ..

This is an act of the MAFIA WHICH LEGALIZES THE CRIMES OF ITS MAN, of a person from the MAFIA, WHO IS UNREACHABLE neither by the prosecution, nor by the court, nor by the Minister of Justice. The

company Goldman management is also damaged by Private Enforcement Agents Kovacheva - former DUI and Private Enforcement Agents Ivan Cholakov - WHO ARE UNAVAILABLE BY THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE AND POLICE - BECAUSE THEY SERVE AND ARE PART OF THE MAFIA.

Coincidentally, the manager of AUDIO VEGA WAS POISONED. IS IT ACCIDENTAL THAT THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE IS ACTUATED TO LIQUIDATE THE COMPANY through a judge from the Sofia City Court.

IS ACCIDENT IVAN TODOROV CHOLAKOV ACCIDENTALLY asking the daughters of the poisoned manager of "AUDIO VEGA" to give up and have no claims in the case.

Why aren't PROKURO DOCHEV, prosecutor BOYAN BALEV, prosecutor NINA YANEVA and chief prosecutor IVAN GESHEV and OTIR TSATSAROV investigating how and why PEA Kovacheva illegally transfers the sum of 760,000 to and even a fake STAMP OF PEA MG is prepared. in order to seal the award decree and THE SAME TO BE REGISTERED in the Registry Agency?!?! Because IVAN CHOLAKOV and a former cop from the services close to ONE MULTIPLE FORMER DEPUTY. Chairman of GERB.

Why is it made so that the BSEC is deprived of M.G. for one year because in an enforcement case against the same debtor the company "AUDIO VEGA" a public sale was made FOR THE SAME PROPERTY and THERE IS AN ANNOUNCED BUYER WHO PAYED THE MONEY.

Therefore, PEA M.G. is deprived of rights for one year so that PEA NEDYALKA KOVACHEVA who received the archive of PEA M.GV can transfer the amount of BGN 712,000 from the special account of PEA M.V WITHOUT POWERS, WITHOUT AUTHORIZED to of PEA Ivan Cholakov, who will return the money to the ANNOUNCED BUYER.

The above imposes a conclusion that this is an organized criminal group, with the complicity of a private bailiff GEORGI SAYKOV DICHEV - CHAIRMAN OF THE CHAMBER OF PRIVATE BAILIFFS in an enforcement case for the purpose of acquiring property and follow the previous owner.

The case is particularly serious because of the personality of PEA Dichev, because without his participation this crime can not be committed on the one hand and on the other hand the conclusions that can be made for a criminal self-confidence committed by the Chairman of the Professional Guild who should have example behavior.

Instead, the person commits the most daring and unscrupulous crime, relying on the fact that as chairman of the BCPEA the Prosecutor's Office, which has so far stretched an umbrella over his actions, will continue to do so in the future and his actions will go unpunished. in the last part of the impugned decrees.

**The complaints about the signal are as follows:**

- ❖ Пр.пр. 9664/2017 - Prosecutor BOYAN BALEV
- ❖ On Ave. 9113/2008 MORE 2008. On 03.11.2008. .
- ❖ По пр.пр. 9223/2008 dated 29.03.2010
- ❖ LAST REQUEST to the CHIEF PROSECUTOR SOTIR TSATSAROV from 15.03.2017 FOR UMBRELLA ABOVE PEOPLE DICHEV.

## **7. CASUS - ORDER - REPRESSION - PREPARATION OF FALSE REPORTS - NEOLIBERAL NEO -FASCIST MAFIA HARASSMENT AND REPRESSION FROM THE COUNCIL OF THE PEA CHAMBER AND ITS PRESIDENTS PEA DICHEV AND PEA IVAN HADJIIVANOV - PEOPLE FROM THE DEEP MAFIA, OVER WHOSE ACTIONS, THE LAWS SOTIR TSATSAROV AND THE CHIEF PROSECUTOR IVAN GESHEV AND THE FORMER CHIEF PROSECUTOR ASSOC. PROF. BORIS VELCHEV OVER THE "ALLEGED NON-MAFIA" PEA**

REFUSAL OF THE PROSECUTORS TO INVESTIGATE CRIMES COMMITTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CHI CHAMBER, PEA DICHEV - PUBLIC SUPPORT AND LEGALIZATION OF CRIMES COMMITTED BY PEA DICHEV,

On the basis of a complaint ordered by the chairman of the PEA Georgi Dichev, a person who acquired property of a state company for a receivable of BGN 25,000 receives money from a debtor 673,000 cash, for a receivable

of BGN 249,000 acquires holiday resorts and entire Black Sea resorts. worth at least BGN 5 million, the same although according to the rules of the Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents, the same in the relevant enforcement case has no interest, and in fact according to the practice of the Council of the Chamber chaired by PEA Dichev, the appeal On the basis of this order, the chairman of the Private Enforcement Agents Dichev initiated a disciplinary case against a person acquired against buildings for millions against thousands of levs.

According to Krassimir Mollov, REPORTS are being prepared on this complaint personally by PEA Dichev, on the basis of which reports the Council of the Chamber of PEAs decided to initiate disciplinary proceedings against PEAs for depriving him of his rights as PEA for two years.

REPORTS - DOCUMENTS WITH FALSE CONTENT, prepared as a crime for the purpose of DESTRUCTION OF HUMAN LIVES, and containing false, false data, false data with the ultimate goal of depriving the PEA as such.

The Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents itself decides that if the appeal in an enforcement case is filed by a person who has no legal interest, ie. there is none in the enforcement case and this appeal will not be considered.

Since the company "Elikon" Ltd. and Krassimir Mollov have filed a complaint in the initiated disciplinary case 25/2017, THERE IS A DOUBLE STANDARD BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CHAMBER OF PEA. and IN THE SPECIFIC CASE, the person Krassimir Mollov and the company representing ELIKON OOD have NO LEGAL INTEREST IN THE COMPLAINT SUBMITTED because he is not a creditor of the case.

Violations according to the false report of PEA Dichev:

1. The company creditor in the enforcement case DOES NOT have a PIN, and it is known that each company has a single identification code and no PIN with a single civil number because only individuals have a PIN, the companies DO NOT have.

The violations were that the public sale was not disclosed and the Sofia District Court was not introduced.

**THESE ARE ALSO LOW LIES AND DEFAMATION BECAUSE CHRONOLOGY of case 54/2012 - SOME DOCUMENTS with pages**

Application for formation of the company KOFRAZH-06- UIC 106619829 NO PIN OF THE COMPANY on 21.11.2012 with ent. Number 00591 from 15.10.2012 of the SRS on civil case 9210/2011, power of attorney, invoice 79, invoice, - 5 pages Invitation for voluntary execution ref. № 00734-04.12.2021

Request assignment agreement for replacement of a creditor with the company Bulukrain-MM -15% entry number 00226 / 08.08.2013 - sheet 11 shows with notification, assignment agreement, power of attorney

Mob for replacement of creditor sheet 15 ent. Number 00129 / 03.04.2014, notification of the debtor assignment agreement, return receipt for the notification of the debtor

Decisions to replace the creditor - sheet 19

Request for foreclosure entry number 00252-02.07.2014 sheet 51

Foreclosure ref. 00188 / 2807.2014 - ent. Omer in Visvanita Agency ent. 39821 dated 11.08.2014

Notification for scheduled inventory 106 sheets from to the debtor ex. Number 00210 / 11.08.2014 - sheet 106 DESCRIPTION dated 18.08.2014

EXPERT EVALUATION ent. Number 00341 / 25.08.2014 - FAIR MARKET VALUE 17 812 526 / SEVENTEEN MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWELVE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY-TWENTY-SIX BGN /

Act for disclosure in the CITY MUNICIPALITY ent. Number Kremikovtzi district - 1700-60 / 05.09.2014 - issue number 00432 / 01.09.2014 act page 202

Act for disclosure in the office sheet 203

Act for unveiling in the building of the Sofia District Court sheet 204

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES RESEARCH

(IJMSSR)

ISSN 2455-1422 (Online)

[www.aarmssjournals.com](http://www.aarmssjournals.com)

Volume: 10, Issue: 01 | 2024

Deed for disclosure of the property itself - sheet 205  
MOLA to SRS for a couple sold ent. Number in SRS 6868 / 08.09.2014 - ref. Number 00446 / 05.09.2014 sheet 206  
Minutes of Disclosure Sheet 207  
Announcement sheet 208 - pibulchina sold from 10.09.2014 - to 10.10.2014 - sheet 208  
Minutes for announcement of received bids proposed from 13.10.2014 - sheets 212-216  
One bid - NON-PAYMENT -  
Scheduling of the second public submitted after the missing one - sheet 221 -  
Announcement page 222 from the date 15.12.2014 - until 15.01.2015  
Act for disclosure in the office - sheet 223  
Deed for disclosure of property itself - 224,  
Act for disclosure in DUI Sofia District Court - sheet 225,  
Disclosure deed registered in the Sofia Municipality of Kremikovtzi district - ent. Omer 1700-60- / 2 / o 04.12.2014 - sheet 226,  
Notification for the second public sale of the debtor sheet 227 message ref. Number 00816 / 03.12.2014  
REQUEST TO SRS for public sale ent. Number in SRS 9656 dated 10.12.2014 - from number 00831 / 09.12.2014 - sheet 228  
Protocol for disclosure of ent. Number 9656 dated 10.12.2014  
PROTOCOL for announcing the received bids from the date 16.01.2015 - NO BIDDINGS RECEIVED - page 263 - 267 pages. - Article 267  
Message ex. Number 00019 / 23.01.2015 - to the debtor for OPIS page 268  
DESCRIPTION PROTOCOL date 02.02.2015 - p. 269  
EXPERT ASSESSMENT FAIR MARKET VALUE BGN 6,222,000 - p. 272 - - 283 p.  
ANNOUNCEMENT page 284,  
ACT for registration of the public sale in Sofia Municipality Kremikovtzi region - vhref. 1700-60 / 3 / dated 10.02.2015 - - 285 sheets  
ACT for disclosure in DUI SRS - sheet 286  
ACT for disclosure in the office 287 sheets  
ACT for declaring the property itself - sheet 288  
REQUEST TO SRS for the public sale ent. Number 1131 dated 11.02.2015 - ref. Number 0083 / 10.02.2015 - sheet 289  
Protocol for approval 290 sheets  
Announcement - 291 pages  
DUE TO MISSING PUBLIC SOLD p. 296 - appointment of a second takavalist 296  
Reported to the debtor for the second public sale sheet 297  
Announced 298 from 15.05.2015 to 15.-06.2015  
ACT for disclosure in Sofia Municipality Kremikovtzi region registered ent. Number 1700-60- / 5 / from 08.05.2015 sheet 299  
ACT for disclosure in the office sheet -300  
ACT for disclosure in the State Executive Service - sheet 301  
ACT for disclosure of the property itself sheet 302  
REQUEST to the SRS for the public sale, entry number 4163 dated 12.05.2015 - issue number 00268 / 12.05.2015 - sheet 304  
PROTOCOL FOR DISCLOSURE ent. DUI SRS number 4163- 12.05.2015 - sheet 305  
ANNOUNCEMENT - 306  
PROTOCOL ANNOUNCEMENT OF SUBMITTED PROPOSALS p. 319 from 16.06.2015 - up to 323 pages - one company submitted proposals. Announced buyer for the amount of BGN 3,736,200 / three million seven hundred and thirty-six thousand two hundred levs.  
  
2. Inventory protocol dated 02.02.2015 pages 269 to 271, including attached on the back of the third page income cash order and EXPENDITURE CASH ORDER for paid for an expert. OBVIOUSLY

DISAPPEARED IN THE CASE in DUI of RS Plovdiv

3. Inventory protocol dated 18.08.2014, pages 199 to 201 inclusive with attachment on the back of the third page - 201 page Receipt cash order and Expenditure cash order for the knitted fee

The chronology proves that the ENTIRE REPORT IS A FALSE DOCUMENT prepared by the chairman of the Private Enforcement Agents DIChev for the purpose of REPRESSION AND TO BE DEPRIVED OF PEOPLE'S RIGHTS WITH RIGHTS FOR TWO YEARS, AS HELPING

According to the report, there was NO DAMAGES CAUSED to the debtor. And the "AGREEMENT" of 16.08.2017 HAS BEEN CONCLUDED. Between the debtor "General Directorate Construction and Recovery", already in bankruptcy who filed the complaint in this disciplinary case and the creditor, by which the agreement essentially acknowledged the costs of enforcement cases on which the complaint and an entity of disciplinary proceedings were filed. SOMETHING MORE THE COSTS ACCEPTED UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT CASES ARE SUBJECT TO THE CONCLUDED AGREEMENT AND THE DEBTOR GUSV IN BANKRUPTCY HAS PAYED THEM WITHIN DEADLINE ACCORDING TO THE DEADLINE OF 08. AND PAYED THEM to the companies Bulukrain-MM, DiM Dobrev Consulting House EOOD, Halachev Trader Trust OOD, Goldman Management OOD.

In addition, enforcement case 20/2014 was transferred to another PEA from my office on 12.04.2016. evident from a reference of the Central Register of Debtors.

In addition, enforcement case 53/12 was transferred to another PEA from my office on 12.04.2016. evident from a reference of the Central Register of Debtors.

In addition, enforcement case 54/12 was transferred on 12.04.2016. of another PEA from my office on 12.04.2016. evident from a reference of the Central Register of Debtors.

REALLY THERE IS A LACK OF SUBJECT and DESPITE THIS PEA Todor LUKOV does not terminate the disciplinary proceedings.

Moreover, they are supposed to be about "actions" back in 2016, and REALLY ALL THE LIMITATION PERIODS FOR PROSECUTION HAVE EXPIRED.

All written evidence enclosed that a crime was committed - preparation of a document with false content by officials, those of the BCI Council and a report with false findings - a decision of the Council of the PEA Chamber for the initiation of a disciplinary case on the basis of a document WITH FALSE CONTAINS - it is prescribed to commit violations intentionally, which was not done by me and because the case was in the SUPREME COURT OF CASSATION - ie. THERE IS A CLAIM BEFORE THE AUTHORITY.

On April 19, 2021, I obtained a writ of execution against Dobrich Road Construction for the amount of BGN 595,563.10. On the same day, I also received an arrest warrant for enforcement case 706/13. On April 19, 2021, my debtor in my enforcement case translates at the request of PEA Cholakova, which she does despite the change and without terminating the enforcement case, for which she is obliged by law and provided that the termination occurs by law and not by virtue of an act of the Bailiff, with which request seized the cited amount, at my request from the debtor Road Construction, who transferred the amount to her enforcement case and to her special account.

I do not know lawyer Tonev. I refused to sign this document and then Cholakov got up and opened the glass blind in the office and there I saw three people I did not know. Cholakov invited them to come to us with his hand and said "THESE BOYS ARE HERE TO HELP YOU TO SIGN AND THEN YOU WILL PURCHASE THEM.

I was very scared and since I had no other choice I signed the contract. This contract was also signed by Ivan Cholakov as a controlling party, and was not signed by lawyer Tonev, as he was not present in Cholakov's office as a contractor. Subsequently, I know that the amount under the writ of execution was transferred to the creditor in the enforcement case filed with PEA Cholakov under number 706/13 and converted at PEA Cholakova under number 1592/18. As a result of the email I received messages from Cholakov transferred a maximum of 40-50

thousand leva from the remaining 595,563 after the transfer of the creditor "Bobo 5 and Sons" and I received a threatening that I will be left without them since I do not want to receive them.

AFTER THE AMOUNT OF OVER 470,000 BGN WAS TRANSFERRED TO ATTORNEY Alexander TONEV after an invitation to him to translate it for me, he explained that since the person ALEXANDER NIKOLAEV GEORGIV WHO had a power of attorney from me, which was actually withdrawn on 30.11.2016. and although it was withdrawn and this is known both by PEA CHOLAKOV and by PEA RADOST CHOLAKOVA, the latter - ALEXANDER NIKOLAEV GEORGIEV WAS WITHDRAWN THE MONEY OF MY COMPANY

## **THIS IS A SCHEME FOR THEFT OF MONEY IN ESPECIALLY LARGE SIZES.**

On August 6, 2021, I received an AIP from PEA Veselka Lyubenova Reg № 780 on enforcement case 20217800400253, a copy of which I enclose. namely the composition issued in accordance with gr.d.49188 / 13 of SRS 74 in favor of "Bobo5 and Sons" Ltd. With the invitation I was invited beyond the already paid amounts in enforcement case 706/13 of Cholakov, transformed under a new number 1592/18 of PEA Cholakova, as the total amount of the obligation had increased to BGN 188,662.31.

It is obvious that the manager of Bobo5 and Sons Ltd. not only exceeded the right, but after taking the writ of execution he formed it again with PEA Lyubenova, as PEA Cholakova should not have returned the writ of execution on which he has full payment and he should have remained with her in the enforcement case, as the amount had been collected.

With regard to PEA Lyubenova, upon presentation of a writ of execution from 2013, according to which the receivable was obviously repaid, she should refrain from enforcement actions in order not to cause damage. Contrary to this rule, it imposed a lien on my claims against lawyer Alexander Tonev and PEA Cholakova. **THIS IS A SCHEME FOR THEFT OF ALL MY FUNDS - OVER BGN 670,000.**

Although PEA Cholakov has been deprived of his rights, his office on Tsar Boris III Blvd. and her office is not in Sofia, but in Slivnitsa. For what reasons Cholakov presents himself as an active PEA after being deprived of his rights.

## **9. HOW THE JUDGES OF THE SOFIA CITY ADMINISTRATIVE COURT LEGALIZE THE CRIMES OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CHAMBER OF PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT AGENTS, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT AGENTS DICHEV AND THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT AGENTS IVAN HADJIIVANOV WITH THE FRAUD OF FALSE FALSE ALLEGATIONS**

The Council of the Chamber, the chairmen PEA Dichev and PEA Hadjiivanov hide the reports on the basis of complaints against certain PEAs, which reports then on the basis of which the Chamber Council decides to initiate a disciplinary case and punish the PEA with deprivation of rights of the PEA.

Even if the respective PEA wants to provide him with these reports, which are mostly prepared by the PEA and in the case against the PEA M.G. the reports prepared are about PEA Dichev, neither the council of the Chamber of PEAs nor the disciplinary panel HIDE THESE REPORTS.

If the relevant PEA appeals against the refusal of the Chamber or the disciplinary panel to provide certified copies of these reports - fraud and reports - documents prepared with false content, before the Sofia City Administrative Court, Judges VESELINA ZHENAVAROVA, ZORNITSA DOYCHINOVA, even TsVAT criminal and legitimize CRIMES BULLY OF REPRESSION of the Council of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents. The rulings by which these judges from the ACCG Veselina Zhenavarova, Zornitsa Doichinova, Tsvetanka Paunova have left without consideration the request for obligation to transcribe from the two reports on the basis of which dissertations 44/16 and 27/17 were prepared and filed as COMPLETELY ILLEGAL, WRONG, IN PUBLIC USE OF OFFICIAL POSITION AND IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW.

1. Financial reporting and financial audits, inspections and control to pass to the MINISTRY OF FINANCE. This is a financial issue, not even for PEAs who are not financiers but lawyers. Control of the professional guild by the CHAMBER OF PEA IS INADMISSIBLE. THESE ARE ORDERS OF THE MAFIA FOR DESTRUCTION OF FAMILIES.

2. To make a legislative change regarding the RIGHT OF FREE ASSOCIATION OF PEA. Otherwise, PROVEN ORDERS MADE BY PEOPLE DICHEV AND COMPANY AGAINST other PEAS will be received. The above proposals are proved by EXPRESSLY AND PROVEN COMMITTED CRIMES by PEA DICHEV, stretched ZADAR over DICHEV and PEA YAKIMOV.

3. Introduction of rehabilitation in the Law on Private Bailiffs  
Although the PEA does not explicitly record rehabilitation under law, it is an institution known from Roman law, which in the presence of certain prerequisites of the law and after their implementation completely erases the adverse effects of punishment.

The purpose of the law is for the imposed punishment to have an educational and dissuasive effect on the punished person and for him to refrain from committing any other violation within the term determined by law. This has the only value in front of you to illustrate how in the absence of real control by the state the Disciplinary Commission of the Chamber of Private Enforcement Agents can afford arbitrariness and punish other members of the Chamber who are its competitors, which in inequality in disciplinary Commission in favor of two members of the Chamber against a representative of the Ministry of Justice, shows the arbitrariness, which is exercised not against the actual violators of the law, but against the same persons declared "rotten apples" who are not in the circle of the President PEA DICHEV and the leadership of the Council and do not belong to his mafia.

As an institute, rehabilitation is explicitly regulated in the LA, where it is three years from the expiration of the sentence, under the Notaries Act, where it is five years, exists in the Penal Code, where any person can be rehabilitated, including murderers and perpetrators. serious intentional offenses, which is why it is unjustified that it should not occur for a person acting as a private bailiff.

As the state has delegated the rights of private bailiffs under the procedure and procedure for acquiring legal capacity, regulated in the Private Enforcement Agents Act by the Minister of the Ministry of Justice, who terminates its powers, the Ministry of Justice is the only body that can

3.1.Rehabilitation is an institution with almost universal legal effect Rehabilitation is a legal procedure aimed at erasing the consequences of the sentence imposed after serving the same It occurs automatically without the need for any action, only by law, in certain by law cases or by special act

Although there is no explicit text in the Private Enforcement Agents by analogy with the law and as the closest applicable law, regulating the activity of the other free legal profession of notaries legally regulated by a competition - Art. 80a of the Notaries Act, their sentences have been deleted by law, as more than five years have passed since the last serving, including the most severe one-year disqualification sentence imposed on me, which expired in July 2011. are for my cases in 2007 and the beginning of 2008 (almost ten years, and my maintenance with this file strongly affects my rights, my personal sphere and is a prerequisite for seeking damages from the ECHR

Deletion of the disciplinary sanction Art. 80a. (New, SG No. 18/2003) The disciplinary sanction shall be deleted if the following have expired since its imposition:

1. one year - for the punishment under art. 75, para. 1, item 1;
2. two years from the payment or the compulsory collection of the fine under Art. 75, para. 1, item 2;
3. three years from the imposition of the punishment under Art. 75, para. 1, item 3;
4. five years, as of the expiration of the term of the punishment under art. 75, para. 1, item 4.

**3.2. The Court of Justice has imposed an obligation on the national court to ensure the full effect of the**

rules of EU law, leaving any provisions of national law, even if subsequent, contrary to them, if necessary on its own initiative, without requiring or requiring to await the repeal of such a provision by legislative or other constitutional order.

In this sense - Decision of 9.03.1978 in case 106/77 of the ECJ. The above circumstances entitle the disciplinary panel to order the rehabilitation of previously imposed disciplinary sanctions, citing the considerations set out below, namely:

Apart from the cited text of the Law on Notarial Activity and Article 135 of the LA, it determines the regime of rehabilitation of the imposed disciplinary punishments of lawyers, as this term is much shorter than three years and the rehabilitation erases the unfavorable consequences for each punished person.

It is obvious that the Private Enforcement Agents Act contains a very serious gap, which gap can be filled only through the institute of common law and the application of the law of free legal professions in Bulgaria. as it does not meet the requirements of Article 15. (1) of the Law on Normative Acts - The normative act must comply with the Constitution and other normative acts of a higher degree.

(2) (New, SG No. 46/2007) If a normative act contradicts a regulation of the European Union, the regulation shall apply.

All persons are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. In this regard, the law must prohibit all discrimination and provide all persons with equal and effective protection against all discrimination based on race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property status. , birth or any other signs.

**3.3. According to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights**, all persons are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. In this regard, the law must prohibit all discrimination and provide all persons with equal and effective protection against all discrimination based on race, color, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property status. , birth or any other signs.

The Pact was ratified by Decree № 1199 of the Presidium of the National Assembly of 23.07.1970 - SG, iss. 60 of 1970. In force for Bulgaria since March 23, 1976. Issued by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, prom. no. 43 of 28 May 1976, Considering that, in accordance with the principles enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations, the recognition of the inherent dignity of all members of human society and of their equal and inalienable rights constitutes the basis of freedom, justice and peace in the world, recognizing that these rights derive from the dignity of the human person, recognizing that, according to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the ideal of free human beings enjoying civil and political freedom and free from fear and not threatened by misery can only take place if conditions are created in which everyone can enjoy their citizenship

## REFERENCES

1. Kriett P. (2009). Equipment Replacement Priorization Measures: Simulation and Testing for a vehicle Fleet. Oregon State University Libraries.
2. Dietz et Katz (2001).Us invest Implements a Cogent analytical Model for optimal vehicle Replacement. Interfaces, vol 31. Pp.65-73
3. Sarache, Castrillon, Gonzales et Viveros (2009). A multi-criteria Application for an Equipment Replacement Decision. pp. 80-98
4. Tecleab.S (2002). A decision support system on equipment replacement. Thesis of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering. Addis Abeba University
5. Wafer, C. (1997). Une approche intégrée pour l'exploitation et la gestion d'une flotte de véhicules. Québec. Université Laval
6. Couillard J. (1993). A decision support system for vehicle fleet planning. 149-159
7. Etezadi et Beasley (1983). Vehicle Fleet composition- The Journal of the operational Research Society. pp. 121-138
8. Morse et Bean (1998). Fleet vehicle Replacement with budget constraints. Technical Report. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan 20p.

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES RESEARCH

(IJMSSR)

ISSN 2455-1422 (Online)

[www.aarmssjournals.com](http://www.aarmssjournals.com)

Volume: 10, Issue: 01 | 2024

---

9. Nair et Hopp (1992). A model for equipment replacement due to technological obsolescence. *European journal of operational research*, 63 pp.207-221
10. Najahi.Y(2003). Outil d'aide à l'implantation d'un système de gestion de la maintenance
11. Albany city (2011). Asset Management Plan. Fleet and Plant. Document Reference. Albany Council P.36
12. Galvis.G(2014). Outils d'aide à la décision pour le renouvellement d'une flotte de véhicule. Mémoire. Université Laval
13. Blank et Tarquin (2005). *Engineering Economy*. New York: Mc Graw Hill pp.144 - 230
14. R. Cantù et al. (2017). A context specific machine replacement model: case of forest harvesting equipment
15. Fraser et al. (2000). *Engineering Economics in Canada-Scarborough (ON)*: Canada Prentice-Hall Canada
16. Jardine et Tsang (2017). *Maintenance, Replacement and Reliability: Theory and application*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. New York Crc Press
17. Lussier (1961). *Planning and control of logging operations*. Université Laval-Quebec
18. Tufts et Mills (1982). *Financial Analysis of Equipment selection*
19. Drinkwater et Hastings (1967). An Economic Replacement Model. *Operational Research Society*, OP vol.18 pp.121-138